

1 **Important Information for Tentative Rulings and Hearings:**

- 2
- 3 1. Please review and follow the Tentative Ruling Instructions which can be found on the Court’s website
- 4 using the following link: <https://sf.courts.ca.gov/divisions/unified-family-court/ufc-tentative-rulings>.
- 5 2. If you wish to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, you must notify the other party
- 6 (unless there is a restraining order in place) and the Court Clerk in the Department where the hearing
- 7 is scheduled of your objection by 4:00 PM the Court day prior to the hearing date. Court days do not
- 8 include Court holidays, Saturdays, or Sundays. The Court’s Holiday Schedule can be found on the
- 9 Court’s website using the following link: [https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-](https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-schedules)
- 10 [schedules](https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-schedules).
- 11 3. To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 403 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your
- 12 case, please call (415) 551–3741 or send an email to [Department403@sftc.org](mailto:Department403@sftc.org).
- 13 4. To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 404 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your
- 14 case, please call (415) 551–3744 or send an email to [Department404@sftc.org](mailto:Department404@sftc.org).
- 15 5. When you contact the Court Clerk to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, please
- 16 specify the paragraph(s) and / or line number(s) of the Tentative Ruling which contains the language
- 17 to which you object.
- 18 6. You may appear at your hearing either (a) in-person; (b) by video; or (c) by phone. Pursuant to SFLR
- 19 11.7(D)(4), if you choose to appear by video or phone, you must be continuously connected to Zoom
- 20 from 8:50 a.m. until 12:00 p.m. or until your hearing is concluded. If you fail to appear in-person, by
- 21 video, or phone, the Court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. The Court is not required to
- 22 contact you before your hearing.
- 23 7. If you choose to appear by video or by phone, you must comply with the Notice and Instructions for
- 24 Remote Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth below.
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29



1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT

4  
5  
6 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 HECTOR ARANA DEL TORO,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FCS-18-352782

)  
) Hearing Date: April 11, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 TENTATIVE RULING

14 **Appearances required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses**  
15 **to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote**  
16 **Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.**

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 DMITRIY DVORTSIN,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 ANASTASIYA MILTER,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FMS-19-387220

)  
) Hearing Date: April 11, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 1. This matter is on calendar for review of the status of visitation based upon Father's request for  
15 modification filed on May 31, 2024, the child's truancy record and Mother's drug testing as previously  
16 ordered. See FOAH filed on December 22, 2023.

17 2. On April 2, 2024 Father filed his update declaration. Father requests the Court take this matter  
18 off calendar as the minor daughter's attendance has improved significantly and Father is satisfied  
19 Respondent Mother is taking their daughter's schooling more seriously and the daughter is thriving and  
20 happy.

21 3. He also states that while there have been problems with drug testing, he has had no further  
22 interactions with Mother that cause him to have current concerns about continued drug use.

23 4. He thus requests this matter go off calendar.

24 5. The Court orders this matter off calendar.

25 6. The Court will prepare the order.  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 JULIA ZACK,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 KIRIL ZACK,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-14-782342

)  
) Hearing Date: April 11, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER RE: ENFORCEMENT OF ORDER RE CPA AND SALE OF PROPERTY

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 **A. Procedural History**

17 1. On for hearing is Respondent's 3/26/2024 ex parte Request for Order requesting that Petitioner  
18 answer him regarding the CPA, the court choose his real estate agent Joanna Agresti over Petitioner's  
19 choice Sangeeta Sachdev, the court order the home to be sold at his choice of price of 1,650,000 and at  
20 his choice of three months for the listing agreement, and for the Court to reserve on the issue of sanctions  
21 related to Petitioner's conduct.

22 Respondent failed to file proof of service.

23 2. On 4/8/2024, Petitioner filed a Responsive Declaration stating that CPA issue is moot as she  
24 signed the engagement letter and will be paying her deposit and requesting the court order the home to be  
25 sold at \$1,750,000, that Sangeeta Sachdev be the listing agent, and for the Court to order Respondent to  
26 reimburse her \$7,500 from his net share of the home sale proceeds for his half of the staging costs in  
27 preparing the home for sale.

28 **B. Findings and Orders**  
29

1 1. Sangeeta Sachdev shall be appointed as the real estate agent to list the New Jersey property. The  
2 property shall be listed at the price Ms. Sachdev deems to be appropriate per the market conditions and  
3 shall not exceed the cap price agreed to by Petitioner of 1,750,000 or fall below the bottom price of  
4 1,650,000 as requested by Respondent. The New Jersey property is to be listed for sale no later than  
5 5/10/2024 and Ms. Sachdev's listing agreement shall be for 90 days. If the property is not in escrow or  
6 sold by the 90th day, then Joanna Agresti may list the property for sale at a price agreed upon by the  
7 parties.

8 2. Counsel for Respondent shall prepare the order.

9 3. **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within  
10 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel  
11 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other  
12 party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the  
13 court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a  
14 proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 ELISE VELTMAN-MILLER,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 BRUCE JOSEPH MILLER,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-15-783476

)  
) Hearing Date: April 11, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER RE: CHANGE OF CHILD SUPPORT

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 **A. Procedural History**

17 1. On for hearing is Mother's 1/31/2024 Request for Order for a change in the 8/2/2016 child  
18 support to increase the amount of support. Mother states she has requested Florida to collect child support  
19 payments. Mother filed an update declaration on 3/11/2024.

20 2. On 4/5/2024, Father filed a Responsive Declaration stating he has been paying support to Mother  
21 via cash app and Mother involved the State of Florida and they are now garnishing his paychecks.

22 **B. Findings and Orders**

23 1. The matter is continued to 6/25/2024 at 9:00AM in Dept. 403. Mother is required to serve and file  
24 documentation with the Court regarding Florida's involvement in the case, in order fo the Court to  
25 determine jurisdiction.

26 2. The parties shall serve and file a succinct update declaration and updated income and expense  
27 declaration with supporting documentation (for Mother, the Court is requesting her schedule C for 2023),  
28 10 day in advance of the next hearing date.  
29

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1. The court will prepare the order.



1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 ALFREDO ROSAS,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 MARTHA ROSALES,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-20-793846

)  
) Hearing Date: April 11, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER OF CHANGE OF CHILD SUPPORT; SPOUSAL SUPPORT; PLEASE SET  
13 FOR HEARING AFTER CHILD SUPPORT MODIFICATION TO DEPT. 403

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
16 Court makes the following findings and orders:

17 **A. Procedural History**

- 18 1. This matter was transferred from Dept. 405, stemming from Petitioner's 10/30/2023 RFO to  
19 modify his permanent spousal support order and issue Respondent a Gavron warning.  
20 2. On 1/19/2024 Mother filed a Responsive Declaration stating the support order was entered seven  
21 months ago 6/30/2023 and Father has the same job, same salary, and same living situation he had when  
22 the parties agreed to the current support amount.

23 **B. Findings and Orders**

- 24 1. The Court does not find that there is a substantial change of circumstances to warrant modifying  
25 the current spousal support order. The court shall not issue a Gavron warning to Mother. Father's RFO is  
26 denied.  
27 2. The Court will prepare the order.  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 WILLIAM TODD RAHN,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 TERA CHERIE BENOIT,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDI-22-796676

)  
) Hearing Date: April 11, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER TEMPORARY EMERGENCY ORDER, [X] PRESERVATION OF  
13 PROCEEDS FROM SALE OF HOUSE AND PAYMENT OF TAXES

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15 **Appearances required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses**  
16 **to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote**  
17 **Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.**

18 **A. Procedural History**

19 1. On for hearing is Father's 3/28/2024 Request for Order for preservation of proceeds from the sale  
20 of house and payment of taxes. Father states that the parties owe approximately \$231,000 in state and  
21 federal taxes (due 4/15/2024) and they expected to receive an estimated \$218,268 from the sale of the  
22 family home. Father agrees that any arguments concerning tax liability may be addressed as part of the  
23 final distribution of community assets. Father also requests to make another withdraw from the IRA to  
24 pay his attorney.

25 2. On 3/28/2024, Mother filed a Responsive Declaration stating she is worried Father has violated  
26 ATROs, she was unaware of many IRA withdraws and money transfers, and that there needs to be a full  
27 reconciling of Father's finances, since she believes he has withdrawn over 500k from the IRA. Mother  
28 requests the parties attend mediation because she cannot afford an attorney and for Father to provide a list  
29 to all the bills the parties have and websites, logins, and passwords so she can better understand the

1 finances. Mother raises many other issues that are beyond the scope of Father's request for order and will  
2 not be addressed at the hearing, including: issues with the HSA card, the repayment of money to her  
3 parents, Father needing to be removed from the children's trust, and her desire to relocate to RI.

4 **B. Findings and Orders**

5 1. Appearances are required.

6 2. Father is ordered bring to the hearing, a fully completed and updated income and expense  
7 declaration to which a list is attached of all his liquid and nonliquid assets and that indicates which assets  
8 are community property and which are separate property.

9 3. The parties shall be prepared to answer:

- 10 • Have the 2023 taxes been filed?
  - 11 • Did Mother sign the 2023 tax filing?
  - 12 • How many total IRA withdrawals did Father make in 2023?
  - 13 • What is the total amount of penalties and taxes owing for the withdrawn amounts  
14 (excluding the taxes that have already been paid)?
  - 15 • Was Mother informed of each and every IRA withdraw before the amount was  
16 withdrawn?
  - 17 • Was Mother given access to every withdrawal that was made?
- 18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 LIBERTY WELLS,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 LOUIS MANZO,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDV-22-816510

)  
) Hearing Date: April 11, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGE OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ORDER, DISMISS R.O.

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 **A. Procedural History**

15 1. On for hearing is Petitioner's 1/25/2024 Request for Order to end the 12/29/2023 DVRO against  
16 Luis V. Manzo Jr.

17 2. Petitioner did not file a proof of service.

18 **B. Findings and Orders**

19 1. The matter shall be continued one time to 6/11/2024 at 9:00AM in Dept. 403 for Petitioner to  
20 effectuate service.

21 2. The Court will prepare the order.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 CHIPONGOLO MULENGA,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 CHASEN PAUL DAVID GONZALES,

10 Respondent

)  
) Case Number: FDV-23-817310

)  
) Hearing Date: April 11, 2024

)  
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)  
) Department: 403

)  
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)

11  
12 CHILD SUPPORT

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 **A. Procedural History**

17 1. On for hearing is Mother's 12/26/2023 DVRO request for child support. On 1/17/2024, the  
18 hearing was continued to 2/7/2024. At the hearing on 2/7/2024, the issue of child support was continued  
19 to 4/11/2024.

20 2. On 3/20/2024, Mother filed a Supplemental Declaration, requesting the Court order a) guideline  
21 child support effective 12/26/2023 and include a bonus table, 2) an unequal sharing of mandatory add-ons  
22 based on the parties' net spendable income after child support is paid pursuant to Family code 4061(b),  
23 and c) an unequal sharing of extracurricular expenses or other discretionary add-ons based on our net  
24 spendable income after child support is paid pursuant to Family code 4061(b).

25 3. Respondent is the CEO owner of Monarch Software and reports that he is paid \$22,441 monthly,  
26 but he did not provide the last two months pay stubs or profit and loss statement for the last two years or a  
27 Schedule C from his last federal tax return as indicated on the FL-150.

28 **B. Findings and Orders**

29 1. Guideline Child Support

1 a. Father must pay guideline child support in the amount of \$2,355 per month beginning  
2 12/26/2023 and continuing until further order of the court. The payment for April is due 4/17/2024.  
3 Payments are due on the 1st of the month commencing 5/1/2024.

4 b. Father owes guideline child support arrears for 12/26/2023 though 3/31/2023. Father  
5 owes \$455 for December 2023, \$2,355 for January, \$2,355 for February, and \$2,355 for March. The total  
6 amount of arrears owed is \$7,520 and shall be paid to Mother no later than 5/15/2024.

7 c. A printout of the Dissomaster computer calculation and findings is attached and  
8 incorporated in this order.

9 2. Ostler / Smith Child Support

10 a. Commencing 12/26/2023, Father shall pay additional child support and temporary spousal  
11 support on any compensation he receives that exceeds his respective base income pursuant to the  
12 Annual Bonus Wages Report attached to the Dissomaster.

13 b. The parties shall engage in true-ups every three months to determine total support owed for  
14 the immediately preceding three months. The true-ups shall occur no later than 1/15 (for the period 10/1 –  
15 12/31), no later than 4/15 (for the period 1/1 – 3/31), no later than 7/15 (for the period 4/1 – 6/30), and  
16 no later than 10/15 (for the period 7/1 – 9/30).

17 c. At least 10 days prior to the true up, Father shall provide Mother with documentation  
18 showing all income earned during the immediately prior three months.

19 3. Add-On Expenses

20 a. Effective 11/1/2023, the parties shall share equally the mandatory child support add-ons as  
21 defined in Family Code section 4062(a)(1) and (2). Mother's request for unequal sharing is denied.

22 b. The procedure for requesting and paying child support add-on expenses related to  
23 childcare shall be as follows. When a party (e.g. Party A) pays for a childcare add-on expense that meets  
24 the definition of Family Code section 4062(a)(1), within 30 days of paying the expense, Party A shall  
25 present to Party B: (i) an itemized statement of charges, (ii) proof of payment, and (iii) the amount due  
26 and owing from Party B. Within 10 days of receiving this information, Party B shall submit a payment to  
27 Party A for Party B's share of the expense.

28 c. The procedure for requesting and paying healthcare child support add-on expenses shall  
29 be as set forth in Judicial Council Form FL-192.

1 4. Dissomaster Inputs

2 a. Father's income is calculated at \$285,000 on the W-2 form he provided. Father states he is paid  
3 monthly on his Income and Expense Declaration. Father's income is input at \$23,750 per month. Mother  
4 earns \$58.01 per hour, works 40 hours per week and is paid every 2 weeks, and earns 10,055 per month.

5 b. 401 Contributions are \$1,309 per month as inferred from his W-2 (as required substantial  
6 documentation was not provided) and Mother's are \$201 per month as reflected on her pay stubs.

7 c. Mother's health insurance is \$144 monthly.

8 5. Counsel for Mother shall prepare the order.

9 6. **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within  
10 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel  
11 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other  
12 party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the  
13 court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a  
14 proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

JUDICIAL OFFICER (NAME AND ADDRESS):  <b>California</b>	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
<b>DISSOMASTER REPORT</b> 2024, Monthly		CASE NUMBER: <b>FDV-23-817310 Mulenga v. Gonzales</b>

Input Data	Father	Mother	Guideline (2024)	Cash Flow Analysis	Father	Mother
Number of children	0	1	<b>Nets (adjusted)</b>	<b>Guideline</b>		
% time with Second Parent	5%	0%	Father	15,739	Payment (cost)/benefit (2,355)	2,355
Filing status	Single	HH/MLA	Mother	7,776	Net spendable income	13,384 10,132
# Federal exemptions	1*	2*	Total	23,515	% combined spendable	56.9% 43.1%
Wages + salary	23,750	10,055	<b>Support</b>		Total taxes	8,011 2,135
401(k) employee contrib	1,309	201	CS Payor	Father	Comb. net spendable	23,515
Self-employment income	0	0	Presumed	2,355	<b>Proposed</b>	
Other taxable income	0	0	Basic CS	2,355	Payment (cost)/benefit (2,355)	2,355
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Add-ons	0	Net spendable income	13,384 10,132
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	Presumed Per Kid		NSI change from gdl	0 0
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Child 1	2,355	% combined spendable	56.9% 43.1%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	Spousal support	blocked	% of saving over gdl	0% 0%
Tax. interest received	0	0	Total	2,355	Total taxes	8,011 2,135
Social Security received	0	0	<b>Proposed, tactic 9</b>		Comb. net spendable	23,515
Unemployment compensation	0	0	CS Payor	Father	Percent change	0.0 %
Operating losses	0	0	Presumed	2,355	<b>Default Case Settings</b>	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0	Basic CS	2,355		
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0	Add-ons	0		
Rental income	0	0	Presumed Per Kid			
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	0	Child 1	2,355		
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Spousal support	blocked		
New-spouse income	0	0	Total	2,355		
SS paid other marriage	0	0	Savings	0		
CS paid other relationship	0	0	No releases			
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0				
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0				
Health insurance	0	144				
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0				
Itemized deductions	0	0				
Other medical expenses	0	0				
Property tax expenses	0	0				
Ded. interest expense	0	0				
Charitable contribution	0	0				
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0				
State sales tax paid	0	0				
Required union dues	0	0				
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0				
Mandatory retirement	0	0				
Hardship deduction	0*	0*				
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0				
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0				
Child support add-ons	0	0				
TANF, SSI and CS received	0	0				



JUDICIAL OFFICER (NAME AND ADDRESS):  <b>California</b>	TELEPHONE NO:  Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
Father Annual Bonus Wages Report 2024 Yearly	CASE NUMBER: <b>FDV-23-817310 Mulenga v. Gonzales</b>

"R" denotes that Father is a recipient for the corresponding support  
 "CS%" is the percentage of Bonus paid as additional Child Support  
 "SS%" is the percentage of Bonus paid as additional Spousal Support

Father's Gross Bonus	Basic CS%	Basic CS	San Francisco SS%	San Francisco SS	Total Basic CS	Total SS	Total Support CS+SS
0	0.00	0	0.00	0	28,262	0	28,262
1,000	7.02	70	0.00	0	28,332	0	28,332
2,000	7.01	140	0.00	0	28,403	0	28,403
3,000	7.01	210	0.00	0	28,473	0	28,473
4,000	7.01	280	0.00	0	28,543	0	28,543
5,000	7.01	351	0.00	0	28,613	0	28,613
6,000	7.01	421	0.00	0	28,683	0	28,683
7,000	7.00	490	0.00	0	28,752	0	28,752
8,000	7.00	560	0.00	0	28,822	0	28,822
9,000	7.00	630	0.00	0	28,892	0	28,892
10,000	6.99	699	0.00	0	28,961	0	28,961
11,000	6.99	769	0.00	0	29,031	0	29,031
12,000	6.98	838	0.00	0	29,100	0	29,100
13,000	6.98	908	0.00	0	29,170	0	29,170
14,000	6.98	978	0.00	0	29,240	0	29,240
15,000	6.98	1,047	0.00	0	29,309	0	29,309
16,000	6.98	1,116	0.00	0	29,379	0	29,379
17,000	6.97	1,185	0.00	0	29,448	0	29,448
18,000	6.97	1,255	0.00	0	29,518	0	29,518
19,000	6.97	1,325	0.00	0	29,587	0	29,587
20,000	6.97	1,394	0.00	0	29,656	0	29,656
21,000	6.97	1,464	0.00	0	29,726	0	29,726
22,000	6.97	1,533	0.00	0	29,795	0	29,795
23,000	6.97	1,602	0.00	0	29,864	0	29,864
24,000	6.97	1,672	0.00	0	29,934	0	29,934
25,000	6.96	1,741	0.00	0	30,003	0	30,003
26,000	6.96	1,810	0.00	0	30,073	0	30,073
27,000	6.96	1,879	0.00	0	30,141	0	30,141
28,000	6.96	1,949	0.00	0	30,211	0	30,211
29,000	6.96	2,018	0.00	0	30,280	0	30,280
30,000	6.96	2,087	0.00	0	30,349	0	30,349
31,000	6.96	2,156	0.00	0	30,419	0	30,419
32,000	6.95	2,225	0.00	0	30,487	0	30,487
33,000	6.95	2,295	0.00	0	30,557	0	30,557
34,000	6.95	2,364	0.00	0	30,626	0	30,626
35,000	6.95	2,433	0.00	0	30,695	0	30,695



PETITIONER:  
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:  
**FDV-23-817310 Mulenga v. Gonzalez**

### Father Annual Bonus Wages Report, cont'd

Father's Gross Bonus	Basic CS%	Basic CS	San Francisco SS%	San Francisco SS	Total Basic CS	Total SS	Total Support CS+SS
36,000	6.95	2,502	0.00	0	30,764	0	30,764
37,000	6.95	2,571	0.00	0	30,833	0	30,833
38,000	6.95	2,640	0.00	0	30,902	0	30,902
39,000	6.95	2,709	0.00	0	30,972	0	30,972
40,000	6.94	2,778	0.00	0	31,040	0	31,040
41,000	6.94	2,847	0.00	0	31,109	0	31,109
42,000	6.94	2,916	0.00	0	31,178	0	31,178
43,000	6.94	2,985	0.00	0	31,247	0	31,247
44,000	6.94	3,054	0.00	0	31,316	0	31,316
45,000	6.94	3,123	0.00	0	31,385	0	31,385
46,000	6.94	3,192	0.00	0	31,454	0	31,454
47,000	6.94	3,260	0.00	0	31,522	0	31,522
48,000	6.94	3,329	0.00	0	31,592	0	31,592
49,000	6.94	3,399	0.00	0	31,661	0	31,661
50,000	6.93	3,467	0.00	0	31,729	0	31,729

